Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

MR 206-12-15

1933.
(Mysa)

File No. 286-33

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for November 34

SUBJECT.

Appeal re the payments of reserve and contribution funds of Sri Gopalakrishna and Sri Rameswara Swami temples at Gopalapura Dhirkanalli Taluk

Messrs N. Narayana Rao
& R. Venkata Subbaiya

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Advocates, Bangalore City

No 318 of 5-9-34

Proceedings in appeal

No 290 of 33-34

Appl pet[n] of Rama Bhatta of Tirthahalli
re: payment of reserve and Contribution funds
for Gopalakrishna and Sri Rameshwara Swami
temples at Gopalpura - Tirthahalli Tk

116

General and Revenue Secretariat

1743 File No. 291 of 1933-34

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Petition dt 23.4.1934 filed by Sri K. Venkata Subbaiya Advocate on behalf of Sri G. Rama Bhatta, Tirthahalli praying to admit appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's order No D. Dis 190 dt 6.3.34 regarding the payment of reserve and Contribution funds for Sri Gopalakrishna Devaru and Sri Rameshwara Swami temples at Gopalapura Tirthahalli Taluk.

The pet[n] is sufficiently stamped & the appeal preferred in time & hold for order if records may be called for —

A — ~~2~~ 2a: Stay order prayed for in para 4 of the P.M. D. dt 26/3/34, the pet[n] will be ~~called to submit~~ a separately stamped pet[n] is necessary

26/4

3 The records may be called for.

Spd A - may be approved

24/4/34

2nd Secy

24/4

26/4

20/4

SECOND MENS
26 APR. 1934
No 7.a.6

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

File No. 2810 of 1933. | General and Secretariat

Serial No.

Memo of forwarding Bill with notice P.H.C is for Kind Signature —

D/- in respect of para 4 A is submitted

K.C. Rao 30/4

G) 30/4

TYPING SECTION. 1 MAY 1934 GENL & R. LG.IT.

Petition sent to M.C with no 1454 ?

8-4-34

No 1455 of 4.5.34 by the Advocate for the petitioner stating that a separate petition duly stamped is necessary in respect of the stay order prayed for.

Memo No 1456 of 4.5.34 to Mr G. Rama Bhatta Son of Subba Bhatta of Tirthahalli Shimoga District

Petition of 30/4/34 presented by Mr R. Venkata Subbaiya Advocate for the petitioner Mr G. Rama Bhatta praying for a Stay of the Magistrate Commissioner's order about the payment of rescue fund and Contribution to the Muzrai Establishment fund on behalf of Sri Gopala Krishna Swami and Rameshwara Swami temples at Gopalapura Agrahara Tirthahalli Taluk.

Mrs 5/5

It is submitted that no grounds are urged for the issue of stay order and submitted for orders —

1/5

Forms of stay petitions for I.A.C. stay order.

[App. F. III—2

eral and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 6796 of 193 3

Serial No.

(7940) A kind perusal of para 2 of the said order, copy of which has been ~~sent~~ presented with the appeal petn, shows that the request of the appellant to suspend the recovery of the reserve amount for 20 years is not deserving of consideration. What the appellant now says is that a stay order may be issued so that the Reserve amount as ordered by the Dte. may not be collected. In para (1) of the said order it is clear that the reserve amount is one fixed at the instance of the petnr himself. In these circs it may be said that there are no good grounds for issuing a stay order as requested. If approved petnr will be informed ~~that~~ that the stay order cannot be given as requested.

20/6/34.

(67 96 / 28/6)

(Jt Secy) 2nd (DR)

(3) (Dr 2-7-16) 2/7/36

(1) 27/6 (Dr) 2/7/16

15

(2) 27/6 (Dr) 2/7/16

(3) (Dr) 2/7/16

2 8 JUN 1934 GENL & REV SECTT

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12 10-33

File No. 286 of 1933. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

No 11 dt 3.7.34 from Mr R. Venkata Subbaraya Advocate Bangalore City intimating that no stay order as requested can be given on behalf of his client G. Rama Bhatta of Tirthahalli 5

Letter no 1433/33-34 dt 27.6.1934 from the Mysore Commissioner submitting records connected with the appeal petition of G. Rama Bhatta re: payment of Reserve and Contribution funds on behalf of Sri Gopalakrishna devaru and Rameshwara Swami temples at Gopalapura Tirthahalli Taluk.

(1) Mys file 206-12-4 reading petition — to 24-6 dt 7.2.14 passing orders on the management of Sri Gopalakrishna devaru and Sri Rameshwara devaru temples at Gopalapura Agrahara Tirthahalli Tk: board to repeal.

(2) The facts of the case are fully set forth in Dist Court order no dt 190/33-34 dt 6.3.34 His appeal is limited to the revised scale of 1932 which he says Seem that the Govt Rs 27 for the Sanctioned, etc proposed by the Amildar is omitted and the reserve amount enhanced - The main ground urged by the petr for this relief is that so as to reduce the reserve

x T.

x O

Serial No.

fund is general trade depression". In the view it is for cases of the Court may be posted for a hearing —

19) The case may perhaps be heard & disposed of. As ten cases have been posted for hearing on the 4th Aug 34, this case, will if approved, be posted for hearing on such subsequent date as may be fixed for the hearing of the appeals and a note submitted in time.

14/7/34

11 Members) 20) may be posted to 17th inst.

17/7

21 Heard Counsel — Order reserved

Order

17/8

22) I see no reason to interfere with the order of the Munsiff

27/8

23

Please speak to me

Note—Comm. Sheet.

Revised file as per directions dated

Jmc
7/9

~~Mr~~ no 318 of 8.9.1934 to messrs
M. Nilakanta Aiyar and R. Venkata Subbaiya
intimating that there are no reasons to interfere
with the order of the Mining Commissioner
No 319 of 8-9-34 to the G. Rama
Bhatta forwarding copy of above letter.
No 320 of 8.9.34 to the Mining
Commissioner forwarding for further necessary
action copy of the above letter

13-9-34
MS





Presented by
Mr. G. Raghavendra
Clerk of Messrs Narayan
Rao & Venkatabhatta advts.
23/4/34

Mg 286-33-1

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharajah

of Mysore, B A N G A L O R E.

Muzrai Appeal No. 20 / 1933-34.

G.Ramabhatta, son of Subbabhatta,
Thirthahalli, Shimoga District. } APPELLANT.

" ********* "

Appeal against the Order of the learned Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore dated 6-3-1934 No. D, Dis. 190/ 33-34 in respect of certain - payments of reserve and contribution funds on behalf of Gopala Krishna Devaru and Sri Rameswara Swamy Temples at Gopalapura, Thirthahalli Taluk.

FACTS OF THE CASE.

The temples referred to above are Muzrai institutions having been endowed with 'Inam' lands in Heligi and Narbala villages of Thirthhalli Taluk. The appellant was recognised as "Vaivatdar" and the management vested in him. The scale of Muzrai reserve was sanctioned in the year 1914 and was revised in the years 1921 and 1930. The scale fixed in the year 1930 provides

provides for an annual reserve of Rs.123/- and a contribution of Rs.24/- to the Muzrai establishment fund. The original reserve fixed was only Rs.100/- per annum. Reserve fund at the enhanced rate as per scale fixed in the year 1930 having been demanded from the appellant for the years 1930 and 31, the appellant appealed to the Muzrai - commissioner praying that (1) the reserve may be fixed at Rs.100/- only as per old - scale in view of the fact that from the - year 1930, when the scale was brought into force, the prices of areca and paddy have considerably fallen down, that (2) in-as-much as he has spent Rs.2,196-4-0 for improving the lands after the floods of 1924, the same may be recouped by with-holding the payment of the reserve fund for about 20 years, and that (3) the lease of garden S.No.13 of Meligi village may be granted - to him. The learned Muzrai Commissioner rejected the Appeal so-far as the 1st two prayers were concerned and as regards the 3rd prayer the learned Muzrai Commissioner ordered that the annual lease-amount of the garden be made over to the appellant subject to certain conditions laid down in the Order; hence this Appeal. The grounds of Appeal are as follows:-

1. The scale of expenditure was pre-

prepared in the year 1928, when the prices were high and was put into force only in - the year 1930 when the prices of areca and paddy had considerably fallen down. The - annual income was brought down from Rs.800/- to Rs.500/- from 1928 to 1930. As the accounts of this temple are being maintained by the appellant himself there is no need - for any amount to be paid towards the Muzrai establishment. As a matter of fact, no - such claim was ever made by the Government at any time. The learned Muzrai Commissioner erred in not noticing these facts and his Order in this respect confirming the - Deputy Commissioner's Orders is unjustifiable and wrong.

2. In the year 1924 there were heavy rains and un-precedented floods in Thirthahalli Taluk, in consequence of which the - 'Inam' lands endowed to the above-said temples were inundated with water and became unfit - for cultivation for a period of 3 to 4 years. During these years the appellant has managed the temples out of his personal funds and has spent a sum of Rs.2,196-4-0 to restore the lands to their normal cultivable condition. The accounts in this respect supported by vouchers and documents had been submitted to the Deputy Commissioner through the Amildar of Thirthahalli Taluk; The said expenditure was

incurred

incurred on consultation of the Dharma-darshis and with their approval. No enquiry of any sort has been held, nor even the Dharmadarshis are examined in this behalf anddthe appellant begs respectfully to submit that an open - enquiry was necessary before coming to a conclusion in regard to the actual amount of expenditure incurred by the appellant. There is no 'mahazar' of the villagers as mentioned in the learned Muzrai Commissioner's Orders and the appellant humbly submits that an exparte-report sent by the Revenue Inspector in the Case without examining the appellant, the Dharmadarshis and the villagers is highly prejudicial to this Case.

3. The Order of the learned Muzrai Commissioner is otherwise illegal and inequitable.

The appellant therefore humbly prays that (1) on account of general trade depression ~~of~~ from the year 1930 the year in which the scale of expedniture, prepared two years prior, was put into force, the scale may be revised and the reserve fund may proportionatley be reduced, that,

(2) the contribution ordered in the Case may be waived under the circumstances (3) that the appellant may be ordered to with-hold payment of annual reserve fund at Rs.100/- per annum till the sum of Rs.2,196-4-0 or, anu sumh sum as may be found to have been expended by the

appellant

11

appellant, after proper enquiry, was fully recouped and that such further or other Order as may seem just under circumstances, may be passed.

(4) He also prays that the execution of the Order under Appeal of the Muzrai Commissioner directing appellant to pay certain amounts may be stayed pending disposal of this Appeal and Orders staying collection of the amounts may kindly be communicated to the Amildar of Thirthhalli Taluk.

C 1433/33 34 TVS
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMR. No. 5 MAY 1934 IN

Bangalore City,
22nd April '34.

Hanumanthan
A Venkata Rao
Advocate for appellant.

1454/Mug & 4 — 5 - 34.
Forwarded with Instalment & the
Muzrai Commissioner for favour
of
(1) Subsistence Allowance received
with the appeal -
Reader
B Muthuswamy
30/4/34
for Financial Secretary
Gone
45



Muzrai Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 28 Feby 14.
6th March

Petitioner.

M.Y.G. Rama Bhatta of Tirthahalli

Subject.

Praying among other matters, that the payment of reserve fund and contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund on behalf of Sri Gopala Krishnaswami and Sri Rameswaraswami temples at Gopalapur agrahar, Tirthahalli Taluk may be waived or at least suspended for 20 years.

Facts of the case

The temples referred to above are Muzrai institutions having been endowed with inam lands in Melige and Nambala villages of the same taluk. The previous facts of the case are given in full in G.O.No. 2024-6, Dated 7th February 1914. Mus:206-12-4

At the request of the Vahivatdar Bhistha Bhatta, the present petitioner M.Y. Rama Bhatta was recognised as Vahivatdar and the management was vested in him The scale

and then again in 1930 on the
the proposals submitted by the Vakil-
vahdar himself. The scale fixed in 1930
provides for an annual reserve of Rs
123/- and a Contribution of Rs 24/- to
the Mussai Establishment Fund.

Reserve Fund at an enhanced
rate of Rs 123/- having been demanded
from the petitioner for the years 1930
and 1931, he appealed to this office
praying

(i) that the reserve may be fixed at
Rs 100/- a year as per old scale

(ii) that Contribution to the Muss-
ai Establishment Fund may be
waived.

(iii) that the scale may be modified
taking the income at Rs 600/- p.a. in-
stead of Rs 300/- as estimated by the
Deputy Commissioner.

(IV) that he may be exempted
from paying the reserve and the Con-
tribution till the sum of Rs 2196-4-0
alleged to have been spent by him for
improving the lands after the floods
of 1924 is recouped.

(V) that the lease of garden
S.No. 13 of Mellige village sanctioned
in favor of Dasana Kodige Subba Bhatta
may be cancelled or the lease deed
ordered to be executed in his favour

The petitioner by his counsel Mr. N. Sreenivasa Rao was heard on 5th December 1933. /4

Order No. D, Dis 190/33-34 Enc one dated 6.3.34

The scale was drawn up by the petitioner himself and the Re-serve and the Contribution to the S Establishment Fund stand at figures proposed by him and accepted by the Dhammadarsis. His request, therefore, for the revision of the scale on the basis of a smaller in-come and for the reduction or S waiving of the reserve and Dus-sai Establishment Fund cannot be complied with.

2 His request to suspend the recovery of Reserve amount for 20 years to enable him to recoup the amount said to have been spent for improving the lands cannot be conceded to as it is clearly made out from the Nakasar of the villagers and the reports of the local officers that the amount said to have been spent by the petitioner is much ex-aggerated and that not more than Rs 100/- has been spent only a portion of the lands being affected during the floods.

3. As the garden land in Kelaya

had been ~~leased~~
leased by the previous Vahi
it was resumed to the
leased to one Subba Bhatta for 30 years
for an annual rental of Rs 300/- The
petitioner's contention that as here-
ditary manager he has absolute right
to lease the endowed lands in any
manner he likes is untenable. The
hereditary managership, if any, ceased
with the orders previously passed
and even otherwise the Manager can-
not arrogate to himself the power to
lease lands permanently in contravention
of the provisions of the Mysore Reli-
gious and Charitable Institutions Regu-
lation.

4. As opined by the Deputy Commis-
sioner the utmost concession that could
be shown to the petitioner is to give him
the benefit of the gutta amount paid by
the Lessee of the Helige garden land. The
annual lease amount will accordingly
be made over to the Vahivatdar during
the period of the lease deducting in full
the reserve and the Contribution due
by him and subject to the condition
that he maintains and furnishes an-
nually a correct account of all the
income and expenditure of the institutions.

Sd/. N. Madhavarao
Inam Commissioner

" Copy "
N. Ramachandra Rao
Manager
Mus:

Copy applied for by Mr. R. Venkatarambaiya on 16-4-34
Copy ready on 18-4-34
" delivered on
No. of words 610.
Copying fees 0-14-0
Comparing " 0-3-6
1-1-6
Copied by S. Jayarama 1-1-0



1 b

Government- ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1933 — 34ನೇ Munsif A ನಂಬರು.

2/4/34

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್

G. Ramabhatta } Vs. {

M Narayana Rao Mar
ಮು|| ರಾ|| ಆರ್. ವೆಂಕಟನಾರಾಯ್ಯ, B A., LL B, ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್, ಯವರಿಗೆ
appellant ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ವರವಾಗಿ ಅಪೈರುವರಿವಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನವರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ನ್ಯಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾವಸು ವಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನಗಾಗಿ ನೀವು ಮಾಡಬಹುದಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚುಗಳಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನಿಂದ ನಿಮಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾದ ಬಾಕಿ ಫೀಜಿಗೂ ನಾನು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ, ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ದನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ರುಜು G Rama Bhatta

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 23/4/1934.

Advocate.

ಟರ್ವುಪ್ರೆಸ್ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

D O. No. 1455

Dated Bangalore, 3rd May 1934.

Dear Sir,

With reference to petition dated 23rd April 1934 filed by you on behalf of G. Rama Bhatta of Tirthahalli re- payment of certain reserve and contribution funds on behalf of Sri Gopala Krishna Devaru and Sri Rameswaraswamy Temples at Gopalapura in the said taluk, I am desired to state that a separate petition duly stamped is necessary in respect of the stay order prayed for in the last para of the petition under advertence.

Yours faithfully,

sd. S. Shamanna

Financial Secretary to Government.

To

R. Venkata Subbaiya, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Balepet,
Bangalore.

Memo No. 1456 /May 4 5-34

Copy of the above is forwarded to Mr.G.Rama Bhatta son of Subba Bhatta, Tirthahalli, Shimoga District.

By Order,

Financial Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F G—1]

18

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1455

To Mr. V. Venkata Subbaraya
B.A., B.L.
Advocate, Bellary

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 4-5-34

Signed by Mr B Muddukrishnappa

Dear Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 23/4/34 filed by you on behalf of Mr. Rama Krishna Rao in Tuni relating to certain Reserve and Contingency funds on behalf of Mr. Gopalakrishna Rao and others of Ramachandrapuram Temple at Gollaprolu in ~~Tuni~~ Taluk, I am desired

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

respect of the stay
The last
ayed for in / hearing of
the said above advertence.

Yours faithfully

1/3/14

For HSCC

14-5-6 of 2/1/34 Kaida Bhalla
Seeg to his ..
Seeg-sabba Bhalla Tirthahalli
Shimoga Dt.

B. O

1/3/14

For HSCC

S.S
3/14



1312
2 - JUN. 1934
No.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, Bangalore. Mys 286-33-4

Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. No. P.C. 261 of 31-32 dated 28-2-34.

Appellant- G.Rama Bhatta.

Petition for stay of execution of the said order pending disposal of the appeal.

Showeth,

That for reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit the order of Muzrai Commissioner directing collection of certain sums from appellant may be stayed.

Dated. 7 5. 34. G. Ramabhatta -
Camp. Tirthahalli.

Recommended
on
K. Venkatasubbaya
Asstt. Commr. & Agt.
30.5.34

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, Bangalore.

Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner, Mysore in Bangalore. P.C. 261 of 31-32 dated 28-2-34.

Appellant- G.Rama Bhatta.

Affidavit of said Appellant.

Name- G.Rama Bhatta,

Father's Name- Subba Bhatta,

Residence- Gopalapura Village, Tirthahalli Taluk.

Occupation- Land Holder,

Age- 34 years.

Caste- Brahmin.

I am appellant in this case. I am highly aggreived by the above said order of the Muzrai Commissioner and I have preferred an appeal against the said order before the Government of His Highness the Maharajah. That appeal is pending disposal before the Government.

2. The Muzrai officer's order is being carried out and attempts made to collect the amount which the order appealed against directs me to pay. I shall suffer heavy loss and hardship if the amount should be collected from me as I believe my appeal to stand. It is therefore essential that Government should stay collection of the said amount by a stay order.

All this true.

Dated. 7 5. 34
Camp. Tirthahalli

G.Rama Bhatta

Sworn to Before me

Asst. Registrar

Thirthahalli

7/5/34.

SEAL OF THE SUB REGISTRAR TIRTHAHALLI TALUK

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

ɔral and Revenue Secretariat

No. 11 Muz 286-33-5 *Dated, Bangalore,* 1st July *193A*

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Mr. R. Venkatasubbaiya, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Bangalore City.

ɜar Sir,

With rererence to petition dated 30th May 1934 filed by you on behalf of your client G. Rama Bhatta praying for a stay of the orders passed by the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of the payment of reserve fund and contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund on behalf of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami and Sri Rameswaraswami temples at Gopalapur Agrahar, Thirthahalli Taluk, I am directed to inform you that no stay order can be given as requested.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- S. Shamanna,

Financial Secretary to Government

Memo No. 12 Bangalore, dated the 1st July 1934.

Copy to Mr. G. Rama Bhatta, son of Subba Bhatta

TRM.3

Thirthahalli Shimoga District, for th

By Ord

For Financial Secretary to Gove

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 245-33

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 11

To

Sri N. Venkata Subbaraya
B.A. B.L.
Advocate. Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 3/7/34

Signed by H.B. Muddukrishnappa

Dear Sir,

With reference to your
30/5/34 filed by you
on behalf of your client
G. Rama Bhatta praying
for a stay of the order
passed by this H.C. in
the matter of the payment
of Pension-fund and Contribution
to the Mrs. Establishment
fund on behalf of Sri
G. R. Krishnaswamy etc.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0677—G PB—15,000—8-11-33

Letter No.Mun.1.?.3104/?3-?4 [illegible] 10-6-51 from [illegible], Shimoga District, to the Municipal Commissioner i[illegible] Mysore.

27

With reference [illegible] Memo [illegible].145/[illegible]-51 [illegible] /11 [illegible]

[illegible] records [illegible]

[illegible] necessary [illegible] distribution [illegible]

[illegible], [illegible] Taluk, I [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible], [illegible]

[illegible]-1951.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[signature]

[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE 28

No. 318
Muz 286-33-7

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, 7th September *193 4.*

FROM R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Messrs: M.Narayana Rao, M.A., B.L.,
& R.Venkata Subbaiya, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocates, Bangalore City.

Dear Sirs,

With reference to petition dated 26rd April 1934 filed by you on behalf of G.Rama Bhatta of Thirthahalli, appealing against the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner in respect of certain payments of reserve and contribution to Muzrai establishment funds on behalf of the temples of Sri Gopala Krishna Devaru and Sri Rama Varaswami at Gopalapura, Thirthahalli Taluk and duly heard on 17-8-1934, I am directed to inform you that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Secretary to Government.

Memo no 319 dt 8-9-34
Copy to Mr. G. Rama Bhatta

Memo no 320 dt 8-9-34
Copy to M.C. for further necessary action regarding grants received with his letter no 433/33-34 dt 27-6-34.
R-D
Sd. R. Ranga Rao
Chief Sec

T88.6

FG—1]

29

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

6 cases

Section Mu3

File No. 286-33

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to ....1386....

No. 318

To

Messrs M. Narayana Rao
M.A. B.L.
& R. Venkata Subba Rao
B.A. B.L.
Advocates - Bandarulpet

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue .... 8-9-34 ....

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

Dear Sirs

In regard to the petition filed on behalf of G. Ramaiah against the order of the M.C. in respect of certain payments of reserve and contribution to Muz. Sch. funds on behalf of the Trustees of Sri Gopala Krishna Devaru and Sri Rameshwara Swami at Gopalapura Talukdar Post heard on 17.8.34, I am directed to inform you that Govt see no reason to interfere

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .. .. dtd 7/9

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

ans yaithfully.

7/9

~~for~~ Chief Sec

319 of 8-9-34

Copy to Mr G. Rama Bhatta
Son of Subba Bhatta, Tirthahalli,
for information

B. O

7/9

320 of 8 ~~for~~ Chief Sec
9-34 Encls 1 to 6 files.
Copy to M.C. for ~~further~~
necessary action returning
records received with this
letter No 1433 of 27-6.34
33-34

B. O

7/9

~~for~~ Chief Sec

Rs
6.9.34

3-Aug 93